

In the event there is a discrepancy between the audio recording and the transcript; reference should be made to the seized CD-R containing the audio recordings. For the contents of tracks 323 through 342 and 386 through 870, the associated CD containing the audio recordings should be referenced.

During a review of the audio, it was discovered several of the times associated with the audio tracks appeared to be incorrect. Specifically, on the CD provided by the Ferguson Police Department, audio track 386 with an associated time of 12:26:57, documented the Ferguson Police Department's request for assistance with crowd control. This radio traffic was communicated to the Saint Louis County Police Department via the Point-To-Point radio channel. According to audio from the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Communications, the same transmission started at 12:07:20. Based on these times, it appeared the time associated with the Ferguson Police Department's radio system was running approximately 19 minutes and 37 seconds fast.

Detective [redacted] later spoke by phone with Sergeant [redacted] and Disptacher [redacted] of the Ferugson Police Department.

Sergeant [redacted] and Dispatcher [redacted] both confirmed there was a known issue wiith the radio system and it was in fact fast. They confirmed 19 minutes and 37 seconds would be a realistic time the system would be fast.

Detective [redacted] also discussed with Sergeant [redacted] and Dispatcher [redacted] the Ferguson Police Department's Computer Automated Dispatching (CAD) system, which they referred to as ITI. Sergeant [redacted] and Dispatcher [redacted] indicated the CAD system maintained an accurate time.

According to the Ferguson Police Department's CAD system, Event Report Number 2014-029062, was opened after P.O. Darren Wilson initially called out on Canfield Drive. This event was documented on audio track number 369. This event was opened in the CAD system at 12:01:50 according to the Event Report timestamp. Based on the time of 12:01:50 assocaited with the creation of the Event Report and the time of 12:21:05 associated with audio track 369, it appeared the time associated with the Ferguson Police Department's radio system was running approximately 19 minutes and 15 seconds fast.

Detective [redacted] also discussed with Sergeant [redacted] and Dispatcher [redacted] the 911 system. They each indicated the 911 system time can drift and therefore be inaccurate. They reiterated the times on the Event Reports would be the accurate times.

During the course of a several day period which concluded on August 28, 2014, Detective [redacted] Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, reviewed copies of previously seized security camera footage from various businesses located in Ferguson, Missouri. The footage review was in an effort to locate any possible pertinent information related to the investigation.

Although it cannot be said with certainty, the individuals noted with the below timestamps appeared to match the description of Dorian Johnson and/or Michael Brown.

The following is a summary of the footage reviewed by Detective [REDACTED] and the findings from each business.

Detective [REDACTED] viewed video retrieved from [REDACTED] a business identified as [REDACTED]

Timestamp 11:37 a.m. - Two individuals walk south across the lot.
Timestamp 11:43 a.m. - Two individuals walk south across the lot.
Timestamp 11:53 a.m. - One individual walks quickly north across the lot.

Due to the glare from the sunlight and the distance of the camera, Detective [REDACTED] was unable to positively identify any of the individuals.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from [REDACTED]

Detective [REDACTED] viewed video retrieved from [REDACTED] a business identified as [REDACTED]

Timestamp 10:30 a.m. - Two individuals walk south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:39 a.m. - Two individuals walk south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:41 a.m. - One individual walks south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:49 a.m. - One individual walks south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:51 a.m. - One individual walks south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:52 a.m. - One individual walks south on West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive
Timestamp 10:53 a.m. - One individual cuts through parking lot south onto West Florissant Avenue from Canfield Drive

Due to the distance, Detective [REDACTED] was unable to positively identify any of the individuals.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from [REDACTED]

Detective [REDACTED] viewed video retrieved from 9101 West Florissant Avenue, a business identified as the Ferguson Market. This footage involved only the early morning hours of August 9, 2014, which had previously been seized by Detective [REDACTED]

Timestamp 1:13 a.m. - A [REDACTED] four-door passenger vehicle parks in front of the business. A black male exits the rear driver's side and enters the store, alone.

The subject, a heavy-set male wearing a red baseball hat, white t-shirt, black backpack, tan shorts and white shoes, walks to the refrigerated section and appears to select several items. He approaches the counter, where he appears to put the items on the counter and have a conversation with the two employees behind the counter. At one point, he pulls an item out of his pocket and tosses it onto the counter. One of the employees picks the item up, examines it and places back on the counter. The individual in the red baseball hat then takes a white plastic bag off of the counter, starts to walk away, returns to the counter, leaves the bag and exits the business.

Timestamp 1:16 a.m. – The subject exits the business and returns to the vehicle. He enters the vehicle, and the vehicle leaves the lot.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from 9101 West Florissant Avenue.

The following is a summary of the footage reviewed by Detective _____ and the findings from each business.

Detective _____ viewed footage retrieved from _____ a business identified as _____

Timestamp 11:49:33 – Two subjects are seen walking south on the east sidewalk of West Florissant Avenue. The two subjects cross the street directly in front of the business and continue south on West Florissant Avenue on the west sidewalk.

Timestamp 11:56:00 – Two subjects are seen walking north on West Florissant Avenue on the east sidewalk toward Canfield.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from _____

Detective _____ viewed footage retrieved from _____ a business identified as _____

The folder dated 8/9/2014 and titled “20140814134203 use video play” contained footage of the exterior of the building. After a full review of the video footage, the footage appeared to be the correct timeframe of this incident, even though the timestamp of the video was incorrect.

The video system had several cameras pointing at different angles and points of view from the exterior of the building. Camera 11 was pointed toward Canfield Drive and captured pedestrian and vehicle traffic along Canfield Drive near West Florissant Avenue. Camera 2 was pointed toward the intersection of West Florissant Avenue and Canfield Drive and captured pedestrian and vehicle traffic in and around this intersection. Camera 9 pointed south toward West Florissant Avenue and captured pedestrian and vehicle traffic along West Florissant Avenue.

The following is a list of relevant video footage events broken down by camera:

Camera 11:

Timestamp 12:02:40 – Two males are seen walking on the north side walk of Canfield Drive toward West Florissant Avenue.

Timestamp 12:03:28 – Two males cross Canfield Drive and continue walking on the sidewalk toward West Florissant Avenue.

Camera 2:

Timestamp 12:03:57 – Two males are seen walking on the sidewalk in front of SCS, Inc., and turn on the east sidewalk, continuing south on West Florissant Avenue in front of the business.

Camera 9:

Timestamp 12:04:19 – Two males are seen walking south on the sidewalk in front of SCS, Inc. and continue south on West Florissant Avenue.

Timestamp 12:05:24 – Two males continue walking south along West Florissant Avenue.

Timestamp 12:13:22 – Two males are seen walking north along the east sidewalk of West Florissant Avenue toward Canfield Drive.

Camera 2:

Timestamp 12:13:59 – Two males are seen walking along the sidewalk, and turn east on Canfield Drive.

Camera 11:

Timestamp 12:14:33 – Two males continue to walk east on the sidewalk of Canfield Drive.

Timestamp 12:14:53 – Two males walk behind a fenced area and continue up Canfield Drive where the camera is out of focus.

The following is a list of vehicles captured by Camera 11 traveling eastbound on Canfield Drive from West Florissant Avenue in close proximity to the incident:

Timestamp 12:17:02 – minivan
Timestamp 12:17:13 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:17:23 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:18:03 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:18:08 – passenger car
Timestamp 12:18:14 – passenger car
Timestamp 12:18:44 – van
Timestamp 12:18:55 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:19:11 – minivan
Timestamp 12:19:16 – pick-up truck
Timestamp 12:19:30 – minivan
Timestamp 12:20:07 – Ferguson Police sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:20:12 – Ferguson Police sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:20:24 – vehicle
Timestamp 12:20:54 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:08 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:10 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:17 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:23 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:55 – passenger vehicle

The following is a list of vehicles captured by Camera 11 traveling westbound on Canfield Drive toward West Florissant Avenue in close proximity to the incident:

Timestamp 12:17:20 – pick-up truck
Timestamp 12:17:44 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:17:52 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:18:25 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:19:16 – sport utility vehicle

Timestamp 12:19:20 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:19:25 – van
Timestamp 12:21:17 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:41 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:21:43 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:22:04 – pick-up truck
Timestamp 12:22:08 – passenger car
Timestamp 12:22:14 – passenger car
Timestamp 12:22:39 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:23:07 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:23:42 – sport utility vehicle
Timestamp 12:24:59 – passenger vehicle
Timestamp 12:25:50 – minivan
Timestamp 12:26:05 – passenger vehicle

The following is a list of other notable vehicles captured by Camera 11 after the shooting event:

Timestamp 12:29:36 – Fire truck and Fire Department SUV are seen driving eastbound on Canfield Drive toward the Canfield Green Apartments.

Timestamp 12:32:58 – Ambulance and other police vehicles are seen driving eastbound on Canfield Drive toward the Canfield Green Apartments.

Timestamp 12:37:59 – Ambulance can be seen driving westbound on Canfield Drive traveling away from the Canfield Green Apartments

Timestamp 12:46:09 – Ambulance can be seen driving westbound on Canfield Green traveling away from the Canfield Green Apartments

No license plates were visible on any of the vehicles captured on the video surveillance traveling on Canfield Drive.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from

Detective attempted to view footage retrieved from , but was unable to do so due to it not playing correctly.

Detective viewed footage retrieved from a business identified as . The footage from both locations was of low quality and did not appear to capture any events in reference to this incident.

This concluded the relevant information on the videos from

The following is a summary of the footage reviewed by Detective and the findings from each business.

Detective viewed footage retrieved from 9101 West Florissant Avenue, a business identified as the Ferguson Market:

A disc labeled Cam 01 parking lot, identified as footage from an exterior CCTV camera, capturing the apparent front parking lot of the Ferguson Market was reviewed. The disc contained nine still images consistent with the description of Michael Brown and Dorian Johnson. The disc also contained camera footage of both Brown and Johnson exiting the store, which can be summarized as follows:

Still images 1-3, date and time stamp (8/9/2014, 11:52:56 a.m.), Brown and Johnson approaching the front door of the business

Still images 4-5, date and time stamp (8/9/2014, 11:54:01 a.m.), Brown and Johnson exiting the business, Johnson briefly stops and faces an unknown male before continuing away from the business

Still images 6-9, Brown and Johnson walking east into the parking lot out of view

The moving footage captured from the camera depicts an apparent white Chevrolet, Monte Carlo, with front end damage, operated by an unknown black male. Based on the footage, the male enters the business just prior to Brown and Johnson and exited within seconds prior to Brown and Johnson. This male did not appear to be with Brown and Johnson; he appeared to be a customer who most likely witnessed the events that occurred inside. The white Monte Carlo leaves the parking lot at approximately 11:54:28.

In addition, a male employee of the business could be seen exiting the business at approximately 11:54:14 a.m.

Nothing further of immediate evidentiary value was identified from this footage.

A disc labeled Cam 02 parking lot, identified as footage from an exterior CCTV camera, capturing an alternate angle of the apparent front parking lot of the Ferguson Market was reviewed.

This disc contained 11 still images and moving footage consistent with the above summary of Cam 01.

Nothing further of immediate evidentiary value was identified from this footage.

A disc labeled Cam 03 side lot, identified as footage from an exterior CCTV camera, capturing an alternate angle of the apparent side parking lot of the Ferguson Market was reviewed.

The disc contained one file of moving footage of the side parking lot.

Nothing of immediate evidentiary value was identified from this footage.

A disc labeled Cam 06 front door, identified as footage from an interior CCTV camera, capturing the apparent interior front entrance and entry way of the Ferguson Market was reviewed.

The disc contained 21 still images and moving footage, which can be summarized as follows:

Still images 1-4 with date and times consistent with those noted above, depicting the entry into the business by Brown and Johnson.

A disc labeled "video from _____", identified as footage from an exterior CCTV camera, located next door to the Ferguson Market, was reviewed.

This disc contained footage from the date and time stamp (11:00 a.m.-12:42 p.m.). This camera captured a portion of the parking lot at the Ferguson Market.

Timestamp 11:37:09 - Two individuals approach the business.

Timestamp 11:38:30 – Two individuals walk away from the store.

The individuals appeared consistent with the description of Brown and Johnson as viewed on the parking lot video described from the Ferguson Market.

This concluded the relevant information on the video from

Two discs labeled "Ferguson Market 1A" and "Ferguson Market 1B", identified as footage from all interior and exterior CCTV cameras, capturing all cameras of the Ferguson Market, were reviewed.

Disc 1A contained all of the recorded footage from the market in the early morning hours prior to the incident.

Detective _____ reviewed footage which contained five camera views of the store with a date and timestamp of 8/9/2014 between the hours of 1:06 a.m. – 1:23 a.m.

Camera 1 depicted a moving view of the front parking lot.

At approximately 1:13:28 a.m., a male arrived as a backseat passenger in a dark colored passenger vehicle. The camera view eventually captured his exit from the store at approximately 1:16:35.

Camera 2 depicted the same footage as the Camera 1 view from a different angle of the parking lot.

Camera 6 depicted the front entrance and entry of the business interior. Brown enters and exits the front doors as reported on Camera 1.

Camera 7 depicted the store interior to include the rear drink cooler and register from the customer side. The male proceeds to the beverage cooler, selected unknown items and proceeded to the register. The male remains at the counter and eventually leaves the area of the registers with no merchandise. The male departed the store at approximately 1:16:35 a.m.

Camera 11 depicted a male from a vantage point behind the registers looking out toward the customer.

Disc 1B contained all pertinent footage captured as described above involving the incident while two males were inside and outside of the Ferguson Market.

This disc appeared to contain all footage described on the previously reviewed Ferguson Market discs.

Detective [redacted] viewed video footage retrieved from the Ferguson Police Department:

Detective [redacted] reviewed footage from the Ferguson Police station dated August 9, 2014, between the times of 12:05 p.m. - 8:05 p.m. This footage captured six views of the Ferguson Police station to include cameras labeled: Upper Lobby, Garage, Lobby Window, Lower Ent, NE Parking lot and Rear lot.

Detective [redacted] noted on the camera labeled Rear lot:

Timestamp 12:07:17 - A marked police SUV came into view.

Timestamp 12:15:45 - A second marked police SUV came into view.

Timestamp 12:37:05 - An ambulance arrived at the station.

Timestamp 12:44:50 - An ambulance departed the station.

Detective [redacted] noted the following information on the camera labeled Upper Lobby:

Timestamp 2:01:04 - Detective [redacted] arrived at the Ferguson police station.

Timestamp 2:08:27 - P.O. Darren Wilson was captured on this camera as he exited Ferguson Police Department with officers.

Timestamp 4:30:03 - P.O. Darren Wilson was again captured on this camera upon his return.

Detective [redacted] reviewed the remaining cameras with no further footage of immediate evidentiary value.

This concluded the relevant information on the videos from the Ferguson Police Department.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Sunday, August 31, 2014.

Regarding the Saint Louis County Grand Jury subpoena for phone number [redacted] Detective [redacted] received an email from [redacted] pertaining to the call detail records which registered on their network; however, the email indicated the billing/subscriber information would have to be obtained through:

[redacted] was a third party provider that contracted with [redacted] for service. Therefore, an additional subpoena was authored and presented by Detective [redacted] to the Saint Louis County Grand Jury for

The call detail records from [redacted] were packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Regarding the Saint Louis County Grand Jury subpoena for phone number [redacted] which was originally identified as being carried by [redacted] Detective [redacted] received an email from [redacted] pertaining to the call

detail records, which registered on their network; however, the email indicated the billing/subscriber information would have to be obtained through:

Therefore, an additional subpoena was authored and presented by Detective [redacted] to the Saint Louis County Grand Jury.

The received call detail records were packaged, marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, September 1, 2014.

Detective [redacted] directed Detective [redacted] to investigate the interview of a possible witness, who identified himself as [redacted] on the television show, "Iyanla: Fix my Life", which was shown on the Oprah Network.

Detective [redacted] viewed the Oprah Network website and located the following show, "Iyanla: Fix My Life – The One Thing This Ferguson Protestor Wants Most".

Detective [redacted] watched the video, in which [redacted] implied he was a witness to the shooting on Canfield Drive.

Detective [redacted] checked law enforcement databases for any subjects with the first name of [redacted]. The individual was tentatively identified as:

[redacted]'s Department of Revenue photograph was compared to the video and it appeared to be the same individual.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Tuesday, September 2, 2014.

Detective [redacted] telephoned [redacted] and inquired about speaking with his son. [redacted] indicated he provided his son with the contact information for Detective [redacted] and it was at his son's discretion if he wanted to call. [redacted] refused to provide any contact information for his son.

agreed to contact Detective if he received further information.

This concluded the telephone conversation.

At approximately 10:00 a.m., Detective Detective Special Agent and Police Officer of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Patrol, North County Precinct arrived at in an attempt to speak with

was asked if he had previously conducted an interview which was shown on the Oprah Network and he indicated he had done so. He was informed detectives would like to talk to him about the interview and what he saw on Saturday, August 9, 2014 in relation to the incident.

agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. 's audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD, which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with :

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was concluded at approximately 10:40 a.m.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, September 3, 2014

Detective _____ reviewed the results of the canvass conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation on Saturday, August 16, 2014, within the Canfield Green Apartment Complex. Upon doing so, Detective _____ learned the residents of _____ discovered a "bullet hole" in the siding of their building. After reviewing evidence seized at the scene, it was determined nothing of evidentiary value had been discovered at this address.

As a result, at approximately 9:10 a.m., Detective _____ Detective _____ and Special Agent _____ traveled to _____ and arrived at approximately 9:40 a.m.

Initially, Detective _____ contacted the resident at _____ who was identified as:

_____ indicated she was home on Saturday, August 9, 2014. During that time, _____ heard multiple gunshots, but did not exit her apartment to investigate.

_____ said on Sunday, August 10, 2014, she exited her apartment and noticed what appeared to be a bullet hole in the wall of the staircase. _____ dismissed the discovery and indicated there was "always gunfire."

_____ had nothing further to add and the interview was concluded.

The following detectives assigned to the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Criminal Identification, Crime Scene Unit, arrived on scene:

Detective
Detective

Detective directed his attention to the apartment building known and numbered as . The building sits just east of the incident location and the front of the building faces Canfield Drive. The building consists of three levels which are accessible via an exterior wooden staircase structure attached to the building.

Detective directed his attention to the wooden third level landing. Detective observed, while standing on this landing and looking to the west, there was a direct line of sight to the area where the incident took place. There were no obstructions between the landing and the area of occurrence.

On the west side hand rail of the stairwell was an area of damaged wood from an apparent projectile. Detective observed a corresponding hole in the vinyl siding and wood of the wall.

Detective conducted his investigation by capturing photographs and seizing the projectile that was located inside the wall.

For more information regarding Detective 's investigation, reference should be made to his supplemental report.

The investigation on Canfield Drive was concluded at approximately 10:40 a.m.

At approximately 2:45 p.m., Detective Special Agent , AUSA and DOJ Attorney met with at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Present with was his attorney

agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. 's audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The audio recorder malfunctioned and stopped recording the interview with _____ at approximately 3:21 p.m.

The interview continued for several more minutes. During that time, _____ reiterated his previously recorded statements.

During the interview with _____ he made reference to a map and a photograph of a vehicle. The two items were later packaged and marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, September 8, 2104.

Detective _____ received the results of a court order submitted to _____ for call detail records related to P.O. Darren Wilson's _____ mobile phone number of _____. Upon receipt of the call detail records, a copy of the information provided by _____ was made and the original copies of the materials were seized and packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Thursday, September 11, 2014.

At approximately 9:00 a.m., Detective _____ received a telephone call from a subject who identified herself as, _____ indicated she was a witness to the incident. _____ added she was in the apartment complex, seeking directions to a friend's residence, when she witnessed the incident.

_____ agreed to meet investigators for a formal interview at the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation offices at 10:30 a.m.

At approximately 10:30 a.m., Detective _____, Detective _____ and Special Agent _____ met with:

_____ in a conference room.

_____ agreed to complete an audio recording of her account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. _____'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with _____

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

During the interview with [REDACTED] she made reference to a map. The map was later packaged and marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The interview with [REDACTED] was completed at approximately 11:23 a.m.

At approximately 10:30 a.m., Detective [REDACTED] and Detective Sergeant [REDACTED] observed a follow-up interview of [REDACTED] at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent [REDACTED] AUSA [REDACTED] and DOJ Attorney [REDACTED]

Details of the interview can be viewed on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302 form.

The interview with [REDACTED] was concluded at approximately 11:54 a.m.

At approximately 3:15 p.m., Detective [REDACTED] and Detective Sergeant [REDACTED] observed a follow-up interview of [REDACTED] at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent [REDACTED] AUSA [REDACTED] and DOJ Attorney [REDACTED]

Details of the interview can be viewed on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302 form.

The interview with [REDACTED] was concluded at approximately 4:15 p.m.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Friday, September 12, 2014.

At approximately 10:00 a.m., Detective [REDACTED] and Detective Sergeant [REDACTED] observed an interview with [REDACTED]. The interview took place at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent [REDACTED] DOJ Attorney [REDACTED] and DOJ Attorney [REDACTED]

Details of the interview can be viewed on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302 form.

The interview was concluded at approximately 11:13 a.m.

At approximately 2:40 p.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] met with P.O. Darren Wilson's attorney [redacted] provided Detective [redacted] with P.O. Darren Wilson's duty belt. P.O. Darren Wilson had previously released the belt to [redacted] indicated prior to its release, the belt had been secured in the trunk of P.O. Darren Wilson's personal vehicle. According to [redacted] P.O. Darren Wilson placed the belt in the trunk after being released from duty on August 9, 2014 and it remained there until he removed it and placed in a box, in [redacted]'s office.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, September 15, 2014.

At approximately 10:00 a.m., Detective [redacted] served [redacted] a representative of [redacted] with a subpoena for unedited footage of interviews regarding the incident from KSDK. This was completed after several emails between Detective [redacted] and [redacted]

Detective [redacted] had previously sent a Grand Jury Subpoena for subscriber information on phone number [redacted] This phone number had previously called 911 and the Saint Louis County Non-Emergency Line on August 9, 2014 at 5:17 p.m. and 5:27 p.m., respectively.

The subscriber information was received and identified as:

Detective [redacted] conducted a computer check and tentatively identified [redacted] as:

At approximately 1:35 p.m., Detective [redacted] called the phone number of [redacted] After placing the phone call, the male who answered identified himself as, [redacted] He said he did not want to be involved and asked for detectives to stop harassing him. He said he never witnessed the incident and was only told what happened by a friend. He was asked if he would identify the friend and he said he would not. He again said he did not want to be involved and sounded agitated. The phone call was ended.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, September 17, 2014.

At approximately 1:06 p.m., Detective [REDACTED] Detective [REDACTED] and Detective Sergeant [REDACTED] observed a follow-up interview with [REDACTED] which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent [REDACTED] AUSA [REDACTED] and DOJ Attorney [REDACTED]

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, September 22, 2014.

Detective [REDACTED] received from [REDACTED] a DVD containing raw video footage of witness statements made to KSDK. The DVD and an associated letter from [REDACTED] was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Tuesday, September 23, 2014.

Detective [REDACTED] directed Detective [REDACTED] to view video previously retrieved from [REDACTED]'s mobile phone in an attempt to locate a [REDACTED] vehicle in the video. [REDACTED] made reference to this vehicle and its driver during his follow-up interview at the Federal Bureau of Investigation office on Wednesday, September 17, 2014.

Detective [REDACTED] viewed video file number 20140809_143938, which showed a [REDACTED] with a Missouri License plate of [REDACTED]. In the video, the vehicle was parked on the parking lot in front of [REDACTED]'s apartment.

Additionally, video file number 201408009_151158, contains a male talking about a [REDACTED] vehicle which had previously left the area.

Detective [REDACTED] conducted a computer check through the Missouri Department of Revenue and discovered the license plate was issued to a:

Registered to:

Detective _____ conducted a computer check of _____ and tentatively identified her as:

Along with _____ another individual identified as:

_____ was also associated with the address at _____

At approximately 9:30 a.m., Detective _____ seized from the Saint Louis County Prosecuting Attorney's Office a fax received from _____ regarding mobile phone number _____ which consisted of 39 pages. This phone number had previously been identified as belonging to a male named _____ who had video of the incident according to an individual who called the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Communications.

The subscriber information related to the _____ requested was:

No additional incoming and outgoing phone calls, as well as all incoming and outgoing text and SMS messages, for the dates and times subpoenaed were received.

The fax was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Special Agent _____ was later able to speak by phone with _____ The associated Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302 can be seen for details of the conversation.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, September 24, 2014.

At approximately 10:10 a.m., Detective _____ observed a follow-up interview with _____ which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Thursday, September 25, 2014.

At approximately 5:04 p.m., Detective _____ and Detective Sergeant _____ observed an interview with _____ which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, October 1, 2014.

Detective _____ produced digital copies of the above material, marked and packaged them as evidence and released them to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

At approximately 8:30 a.m., Detective _____ Detective _____ of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Criminal Identification, Crime Scene Unit, and Chief Investigator _____ of the Saint Louis County Prosecuting Attorney's Office met near _____ Canfield Drive. Detective _____ took several photographs of the area in question. Detective _____'s supplement can be viewed for full details of his investigation.

At approximately 6:20 p.m., Detective _____ observed a follow-up interview with _____ which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Friday, October 3, 2014.

At approximately 10:30 a.m., Detective _____ observed a follow-up interview with _____ which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Tuesday, October 7, 2014.

At approximately 3:18 p.m., Detective _____ observed a follow-up interview with _____ which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, October 8, 2014

At approximately 1:00 p.m., Detective _____ Special Agent _____ and Special Agent _____ arrived at _____ in an attempt to contact the owner of the _____ with Missouri License Plates of _____ that was previously identified in _____'s mobile phone video.

After arriving at _____ investigators observed the vehicle in question parked in the driveway. The vehicle was unoccupied. Attempts to contact the residents were unsuccessful, and a card was left in their front storm door asking the vehicle owner to call.

This concluded the investigation at _____

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, October 13, 2014.

After not receiving a response from _____ regarding a Grand Jury subpoena sent by Detective _____ on September 2, 2014 for phone number _____ Detective _____ called the _____ office

phone number of
website indicated:

The number was no longer a working number. The

had a contract with an underlying carrier that matured and was going through a sale which did not materialize. The Company has made the decision to wind down its business and will no longer be processing refills. Customer's account/services will terminate effective September 7th, 2014 at 11:59pm (EST). The information required to PORT OUT your number is your Account Number which is your telephone number and PIN/PASSWORD which is

Effective September 7th, 2014 at 11:59pm (EST)
the underlying carrier by using the same

will be re-routing all Customer Service calls to

Detective re-contacted legal compliance who reiterated they had no subscriber information or records pertaining to customers.

Detective contacted Special Agent and requested she have an agent in the Miami Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation attempt to contact a representative of the business at their corporate offices.

At approximately 9:16 a.m., Detective observed a follow-up interview with which was conducted at the Saint Louis Division of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The interview was conducted by Special Agent AUSA and DOJ Attorney

Full details of the interview can be seen on the associated audio recording and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Tuesday, October 14, 2014.

At approximately 1:37 p.m., Detective attempted to call the

at his work phone number of A message was left with a receptionist for him to return the call. This phone call was based on comments had previously made during her interview when she said she spoke with about witnessing the incident in question.

At approximately 1:50 p.m., returned the phone call and fully identified himself. He confirmed he was indicated never mentioned

anything to him about witnessing a shooting, and he never had a conversation with her about not providing information to investigators.

He indicated that although he and [redacted] he talks with her on a regular basis. He believed she would have mentioned such an incident had she seen it. He said [redacted] has Bi-Polar Disorder and has lied about things in the past.

The conversation with [redacted] was concluded.

At approximately 3:00 p.m., Detective [redacted] received a phone call from a female who identified herself as [redacted]. She indicated she received a phone call from [redacted] who informed her of his previous phone conversation with Detective [redacted]. [redacted] said [redacted] failed to disclose their conversations about the incident because he thought it was someone playing a joke on him. [redacted] reiterated she was telling the truth about the incident.

At approximately 7:00 p.m., Detective [redacted] spoke by phone with [redacted] who agreed to have his son call. [redacted] indicated he had spoken to his son about the incident further. His son asked the friend, who attended Webster University, if he had seen the male who claimed to have the video. The friend said he had not seen the unknown individual since he made the claim.

[redacted] would not provide contact information for his son.

Detective [redacted], Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Crimes Against Persons conducted computer investigations into 82 individuals identified by Detective [redacted] as individuals of interest. As a result of this investigation, the following publicly available online accounts were determined to be of interest:

1. [redacted] possesses a Twitter account with the username of "@Thee Pharoah" and profile name of "Bruh". In the publicly-available content of this account, [redacted] tweets numerous statements in reference to the shooting of Michael Brown, including statements of what he witnessed.
2. A YouTube.com video posted by "Vice News" titled, "Exclusive The Man Who Live-Tweeted Michael Browns Death (Dispatch 7) ([Full HD])" and located at the URL of "<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tubklgb7LkA>". This video displays [redacted]'s tweets at the time of and immediately following the shooting of Michael Brown. Additionally, [redacted] is interviewed in the video, during which he makes statements regarding the shooting as well as sings a song he had written in reference to it.
3. [redacted] possesses a Facebook account with the username of "[https://www.facebook.com/\[redacted\]](https://www.facebook.com/[redacted])". A review of the publicly-available content of this account revealed no information that appeared to be directly related to what [redacted] witnessed at the time of the incident.
4. The New York Times reported [redacted] stated in an interview he witnessed the initial altercation between PO Darren Wilson and Michael Brown. He further stated he witnessed PO Darren Wilson