

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 1:49 p.m.

During the interview with _____ she made reference to a map. The map was later packaged and marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

At approximately 2:39 p.m., Detective _____ placed a phone call to _____ and asked a follow-up question.

The following is a transcript of the phone conversation with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The phone call with _____ was completed at approximately 2:39 p.m.

At approximately 5:00 p.m., Detective _____ received a phone call from _____, an employee of the Ferguson Market. _____ indicated he was concerned about the developing situation with protestors on West Florissant Avenue. During the conversation, _____ agreed to travel to the Division of Criminal Investigation offices for a conversation regarding recent activity at the business.

At approximately 7:55 p.m., Detective _____, Special Agent _____ AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____ met with:

at the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation offices. Also present was:

_____ agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident at the Ferguson Market. A _____ department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. _____'s audio statement was later

transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Shortly after the interview began, it was determined that [redacted] did not have any firsthand information regarding the incident in question. He agreed to contact [redacted] and request he meet with investigators. The interview with [redacted] was concluded several minutes after determining he would be willing to assist in contacting [redacted] for an interview.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 8:14 p.m.

At approximately 8:59 p.m., Detective _____ Special Agent _____, AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____ met with:

at the Division of Criminal Investigation offices.

_____ agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident at the Ferguson Market. A _____ department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. _____'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 9:23 p.m.

At approximately 9:32 p.m., Detective _____, Special Agent _____, AUSA _____ and DOJ Attorney _____ spoke with _____

_____ agreed to complete an audio recording of her account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. _____'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with _____

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 10:00 p.m.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Friday, August 15, 2014.

As of August 15, 2014, Detective _____ was still unable to make contact with the phone numbers of _____ and _____ therefore, separate Grand Jury subpoenas, which were independently authored by Detective _____, were presented to the Saint Louis County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, requesting the subscriber information associated with each phone number. The Grand Jury subpoenas were granted and faxed to _____ USA Law Enforcement Relations.

At approximately 9:25 a.m., Detective _____ spoke with _____ at _____ was identified as the corporate security representative for QuikTrip gas stations in the Saint Louis region. _____ said the Ferguson store was equipped with surveillance video cameras which recorded locally to a DVR system in the store. The DVR system was recovered from the Ferguson store after the store was destroyed during the rioting. The DVR system was heavily damaged in the fire and was being processed at their corporate headquarters in an attempt to recover video footage.

This concluded the phone conversation with _____

At approximately 9:30 a.m., Detective _____ Detective _____ and Detective _____ of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, drove to the Ferguson Market, located at 9101 West Florissant Avenue. They did so in an attempt to retrieve additional security camera footage from approximately 1:13 a.m. on August 9, 2014 where, according to employees of the Ferguson Market, Brown possibly had an additional encounter with employees in the store.

Detective _____ spoke with _____ and his attorney _____ who indicated they would not be granting consent to access the security system. They did grant consent to enter the management office area to obtain identifying information about the system itself.

Once consent to enter the management office was granted, Detective [redacted] entered and observed a desktop computer that appeared to be connected by video cables to store surveillance cameras. Detective [redacted] conducted an inspection of the rear of the desktop computer, described as being black and silver in color, no visible name brand present, with serial number 16201080900995. Detective [redacted] observed the computer was being utilized to record video footage captured by store surveillance cameras.

At approximately 11:00 a.m., Detective Sergeant [redacted] drove to the offices of KMOV-TV and contacted employee [redacted]. Detective Sergeant [redacted] retrieved from [redacted] a CD containing news footage of interviews conducted with Dorian Johnson. Detective Sergeant [redacted] later packaged and marked the CD as evidence and released it to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

At approximately 12:50 p.m., Detective [redacted], Detective Sergeant [redacted], Special Agent [redacted] AUSA [redacted] and DOJ Attorney [redacted] arrived at the Saint Louis City NAACP office, located at 625 North Euclid Avenue in Saint Louis City for a previously scheduled 1:00 p.m. interview with:

[redacted] did not show for the scheduled interview. Saint Louis NAACP President [redacted] eventually drove to her residence, picked her up and drove her back to the NAACP office.

At approximately 2:30 p.m., [redacted] arrived for the scheduled interview. After a discussion, [redacted] indicated [redacted] was in fact a witness and agreed to complete an audio recording of her account of the incident. A [redacted] department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. [redacted]'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 4:00 p.m.

During the interview with _____ she made reference to a map. The map was later packaged and marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

At approximately 1:15 p.m., Detective _____ spoke by phone with Detective _____ who indicated the owners of the Ferguson Market were not willing to consent to a search of the store's video system. Detective _____ contacted Detective _____ and directed him to prepare a search warrant for the associated items.

At approximately 3:00 p.m., Detective [redacted] prepared and applied for a search warrant requesting the seizure and search of a black and silver computer with serial number 16201080900995 located within the Ferguson Market. After a review of the facts and circumstances of the incident, the Honorable Judge signed the warrant authorizing the search of the Ferguson Market and seizure of the computer.

After obtaining the search warrant, Detective [redacted] drove to the Ferguson Market with Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Crime Scene Unit. Detective [redacted] handed the search warrant to attorney [redacted] who was representing the business owners. [redacted] reviewed the search warrant and complied with the removal of the following item:

- Black/Silver Misc brand computer Serial Number 16201080900995

Detective [redacted] conducted a manual check of the computer's software to ensure that the video surveillance software and footage was in fact being stored on the computer's hard drive. During this check, Detective [redacted] observed a username and password was needed to access the DVR software. A store employee provided Detective [redacted] with the username and password.

Detective [redacted] seized and photographed the computer. Detective [redacted] later took custody of the computer at the Saint Louis County Police Department's Computer Forensics Lab.

Detective [redacted] took several photographs of the computer prior to disassembling it for examination.

Detective [redacted] removed a Western Digital (500 Gigabyte) internal hard drive from the computer.

Detective [redacted] connected the hard drive to a Tableau Forensic Duplicator (TD2) and extracted a forensic image of the hard drive to a computer lab hard drive that had previously been wiped to ensure no data was present.

Detective [redacted] created two additional forensic images of the computer hard drive for examination purposes. The original hard drive discovered in the computer was seized as evidence to ensure the original data was not disturbed. One forensic image was seized as evidence.

Due to the specific DVR (digital video recorder) being utilized by the Ferguson Market, Detective [redacted] inserted one of the hard drives containing a forensic image of the computer to enable his examination. Detective [redacted] then powered on the computer and observed that the computer successfully completed the boot process. Detective [redacted] then activated the "Dvis DVR" software and discovered it successfully loaded the video surveillance contained on the computer hard drive.

Detective [redacted] extracted two sets of surveillance footage to DVD discs.

The first set of surveillance footage was from August 9th, 2014, shortly after 1:00 A.M. A copy of this was seized and packaged as evidence.

The second set of surveillance footage was from August 9th, 2014 after 11:00 A.M. A copy of this was seized and packaged as evidence.

At the completion of the forensic examination, Detective [redacted] packaged the computer as evidence.

During the afternoon hours, Detective [redacted] met with 911 Coordinator [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department Emergency Communications Network. [redacted] indicated phone number [redacted] which had previously been identified with an anonymous caller who called in on August 9, 2014, was actually an internal routing number belonging to the Saint Louis County Police Department's position T4. The actual originating phone number associated with the anonymous caller was [redacted]

A computer record check of [redacted] revealed the provider was Sprint Nextel. A Grand Jury subpoena, which was authored by Detective [redacted] was delivered to the Saint Louis County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, requesting the subscriber information associated with this phone number.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Saturday, August 16, 2014.

During the morning hours of Saturday, August 16, 2014, the Federal Bureau of Investigation conducted a canvass of the Canfield Green Apartment Complex in an attempt to locate additional witnesses to the incident in question. The associated Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302s and digital recordings can be referenced for additional information regarding the canvass.

At approximately 11:50 a.m., Detective [redacted] contacted [redacted] by phone and asked if he was willing to speak with law enforcement about his observations during the incident in question. [redacted] stated he was still in discussions with his family about whether or not to talk with detectives and to contact him at a later date.

At approximately 6:50 p.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] drove to the residence of [redacted] located at [redacted] Saint Louis, Missouri for a previously scheduled follow-up interview. [redacted] had previously been interviewed on August 9, 2014; however, [redacted] was upset and was unable to give a complete account of what he witnessed at that time.

[redacted] escorted Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] into the kitchen of his residence where the three sat at the kitchen table.

[redacted] agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture his statement. [redacted] s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with [redacted]

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 7:25 p.m.

Detective M. _____ of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, sent a preservation letter to Google Incorporated for the video titled, "Mike Brown EyeWitness Crime Scene Video Ferguson, MO", which was posted by "Black Canseco". This video had been widely circulating online and showed footage and commentary of the Canfield Green Apartment Complex shortly after the incident took place. Detective _____ later downloaded the video to CD and packaged and marked it as evidence and released it to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Sunday, August 17, 2014.

At approximately 9:05 a.m., Detective _____ contacted _____ by phone and asked if he was willing to speak with detectives about his account of the incident. _____ said, after speaking with his family on three

separate occasions, he was not inclined to provide his account. He stated he was afraid to assist for fear of retaliation from the community if he told the truth and if it was learned that he assisted investigators with providing information that was not consistent with what was being reported by the media.

The phone conversation with [redacted] was concluded.

Based on statements made by P.O. Darren Wilson during his interview, regarding the use of his radio during the incident, Detective [redacted] contacted the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Communications and requested any and all radio traffic on RIOT A between 11:40 a.m. and 12:15 p.m. on Saturday, August 9, 2014. The radio traffic was transferred to CD and later packaged and marked as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

After review the seven files on the CD, which consisted of transmission on RIOT A within the requested time frame, none of the transmissions appeared to be of P.O. Darren Wilson.

Full details of the transmissions can be heard on the associated CD.

At approximately 1:35 p.m., Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, was contacted via telephone by Public Safety Dispatcher [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Operational Support, Bureau of Communications.

Public Safety Dispatcher [redacted] explained the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Communications received a telephone call from a subject, who later identified himself as [redacted] wished to speak with investigators regarding his knowledge of the shooting death of Michael Brown.

Public Safety Dispatcher [redacted] requested detectives attempt to contact [redacted] via telephone at [redacted]

At approximately 1:47 p.m., Detective [redacted] spoke by phone with:

[redacted] explained he did not witness the encounter between Brown and the police officer; however, he claimed to have heard a pattern of gunfire while he performed yard work at his home.

Detective [redacted] asked [redacted] if he was available to meet and discuss the investigation, to which he said he was; however, he expressed fear of meeting investigators at his home. [redacted] agreed to meet

detectives on the parking lot of the Florissant Avenue and Dunn Road.

located near the intersection of West

At approximately 2:10 p.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, drove to the shopping center, contacted [redacted] and escorted him to the detective's unmarked police vehicle for an interview.

[redacted] agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident and reiterated his statement. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture his statement. [redacted]'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was concluded at approximately 2:56 p.m.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Monday, August 18, 2014.

Detective _____ was able to view the security footage from _____ a building that had previously been identified as being under renovation. Detective _____ determined it was from August 9, 2014; however, the video showed a time of 11:18:00 a.m. The video appeared to be footage from well after the events surrounding the shooting. This footage did not provide any footage of evidentiary value.

Detective _____ spoke by phone with Sergeant _____ of the Ferguson Police Department. Sergeant _____ was asked to confirm whether channel 3 on Ferguson's portable radios was assigned to the channel identified

at "RIOT A." Sergeant [redacted] confirmed the Ferguson Police Department had channel 3 on their radios assigned to RIOT A.

At approximately 2:38 p.m., Investigator [redacted] Special Agent [redacted] and Special Agent [redacted] both of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, met with [redacted] for a previously scheduled meeting at the Saint Louis County Prosecuting Attorney's Office.

The associated audio recording on CD and Federal Bureau of Investigation FD-302 can be reviewed for full details of the meeting.

At approximately 4:00 p.m., Detective [redacted] was directed to contact witness [redacted] and witness [redacted] in an effort to determine if they independently completed a written statement documenting their account of the incident.

Detective [redacted] attempted to contact [redacted]. No answer was received and a voice message was left requesting a return telephone call.

Detective [redacted] then telephoned [redacted]. After speaking with [redacted] Detective [redacted] learned [redacted] had written a statement at the direction of his former employer. [redacted] indicated he was in possession of the handwritten statement; however, he was hesitant to meet with investigators. Ultimately, [redacted] indicated he was more comfortable meeting in a public place and directed detectives to meet at the [redacted] at approximately 6:30 p.m.

At approximately 6:30 p.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] arrived at the [redacted] and met with [redacted]. Also present was Special Agent [redacted] of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Upon initial contact, Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] could immediately detect a strong odor associated with an alcoholic beverage emitting from [redacted]'s breath.

Detective [redacted] asked [redacted] to accompany investigators to Detective [redacted] unmarked police vehicle. [redacted] agreed. The remainder of the interview was conducted in the presence of Detective [redacted] and Special Agent [redacted]. Prior to conducting the recorded interview with [redacted] he provided Detective [redacted] with a map of the Canfield Green Apartment Complex and two pieces of photocopied paper which, when put together, appeared to be one consistent handwritten note.

[redacted] initially explained he did not feel comfortable providing the original handwritten note as he feared it would be shredded by the police. [redacted] stated the note would be lost because it did not favor the police. [redacted] added the original documents were in a safe place.

Detective [redacted] exited the vehicle to briefly speak with Detective [redacted] about the lack of the original document. After approximately two minutes, [redacted] and Special Agent [redacted] exited the vehicle at which time [redacted] agreed to provide investigators with the original copy of the handwritten note and map.

[redacted] then accessed a locked utility box attached to his vehicle. [redacted] retrieved a Daily Job Report form and a map of the Canfield Green Apartment Complex. On the reverse side of the Daily Job Report, Detective [redacted] observed a handwritten note. The note read as follows:

“Witnessed Cop Shooting 8-9-14 12:00
Talked with victim for 25-30 min
About god He says the Lord Jesus Christ
Would Help me Through my Problems
Then said He was going to Store
& He would Be Back
Then I Heard a Pop (thought nothing)
Then another looked over seen
Victim Stumbling & Running Thought
gunfire figured He was Running
from who had gun
Then He Threw His Hands
Up & yelled ok ok ok ok ok
A Police Officer (who said nothing)
emped his gun into The guy
I Believe 7 more at close Range
What Happened Before The first 2
I don’t know but from When He said
He would Be Back I would say
25-30 minutes Passed Before Shots fired”

This is an accurate representation of the handwritten note; however, for an exact depiction, reference should be made to the note. Detective [redacted] seized the handwritten note as evidence. The handwritten note was ultimately marked, packaged and forwarded to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Detective [redacted] Agent [redacted] and [redacted] entered Detective [redacted] unmarked police vehicle at which time [redacted] agreed to complete a recorded audio statement of his account of the seizure of the handwritten note. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture his statement. [redacted]’s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

During the interview with _____ he made reference to a map. The map was seized and packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 6:58 p.m.

At approximately 8:00 p.m., Detective _____ was contacted by Police Officer _____ of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Patrol, Central County Precinct. P.O. _____ indicated he

received information regarding the possible presence of a video recording depicting the incident in question. P.O. indicated he was contacted by about the presence of a video recording. P.O. added could be contacted at

Detective telephoned who said his coworker, spoke to him regarding a video and that a family member of his had seen a video of the incident. indicated could be contacted at

Detective telephoned at the number provided. Detective asked the subject to fully identify himself; however, he refused. Detective then inquired about any knowledge of a video of the incident. indicated his son had mentioned speaking with a friend who watched a video of the incident in its entirety.

explained his son is a student at University of Missouri-Columbia and the friend of his son is a student at Webster University. did not know the name of the friend or any additional identifying information.

added his son was currently vacationing in and was unreachable. He indicated he would contact his son after returning to inquire about further information.

At approximately 9:54 p.m., Detective Detective Special Agent Special Agent DOJ Attorney and AUSA met with at her residence for a previously scheduled interview.

agreed to complete an audio recording of her account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was completed at approximately 10:48 p.m.

During the interview with _____ she made reference to a map. The map was seized and packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Tuesday, August 19, 2014.

Detective _____ contacted the Saint Louis County Police Department's Bureau of Communications and spoke with PSD III _____ Detective _____ requested digital copies of radio traffic from Riot A and Point to Point, as well as telephone positions identified as Supervisor 1 and Supervisor 2 from 12:07 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on Saturday, August 9, 2014.

Detective _____ spoke with QuikTrip Corporate Security Officer _____ about the location of the DVR system from QuikTrip Store #616. _____ directed Detective _____ to contact _____ t
QuikTrip Headquarters with a business phone number of _____

Detective [redacted] was unable to make contact with [redacted] however, he spoke with Employee [redacted] who indicated the DVR system in question was destroyed and their IT department was unable to retrieve any information from this DVR system.

[redacted] was asked to send the DVR system via FED EX to:

Detective [redacted] DSN
DCI/SIU
7900 Forsyth Boulevard
Clayton, Missouri 63105

[redacted] later indicated the DVR system was shipped with a tracking number of 1Z7306401511376611.

[redacted] indicated he would forward a timeline of the DVR system's events since receiving it.

Detective [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, had previously searched the content of YouTube.com for eyewitness statements and/or video footage of the Michael Brown shooting. Detective [redacted] identified four video postings that appeared to be relevant, which were identified as:

1. Titled: "Mike Brown EyeWitness Crime Scene Video Ferguson, MO"
URL: "<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VdL9dqyjhM>"
Account Name: "Black Canseco"
2. Titled: "Eyewitness speaks on the murder of Mike Brown"
Published Date: August 10th, 2014
URL: "<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tfy5FiqzWHI>"
Account Name: "drug-warrior"
3. Titled: "Mike Brown Shooting, Ferguson Missouri! Loading the body in a SUV"
Posted Date: August 11th, 2014
URL: "<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Wt8yEGacls>"
Account Name: "Law Abiding Citizen News"
4. Titled: "A Eyewitness Explains How Ferguson, Missouri Teen Mike Brown Surrendered & Shot By Police Officer"
Published Date: August 10th, 2014
URL: "https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_qo14KOcQxw"
Account Name: "JNumba ExclusiveVidz"

Detective [redacted] sent a preservation letter request to Google Incorporated requesting the videos "Mike Brown Shooting, Ferguson Missouri! Loading the body in a SUV" and "A Eyewitness Explains How Ferguson, Missouri

Teen Mike Brown Surrendered & Shot By Police Officer” be preserved. Detective [redacted] had previously sent a preservation letter to Google Incorporated, for the video titled “Eyewitness speaks on the murder of Mike Brown”, which was posted by “drug-warrior”. Detective [redacted] had previously sent a preservation letter to Google Incorporated for the video titled “Mike Brown EyeWitness Crime Scene Video Ferguson, MO”, which was posted by “Black Canseco”.

Detective [redacted] produced a digital copy of the four YouTube videos (including one MP3 file), which she packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Detective [redacted] prepared a search warrant for Google Incorporated for the four accounts. The search warrant was presented to and granted by Judge [redacted] of the Circuit Court of Saint Louis County. The search warrant was faxed to Google Incorporated at [redacted].

Detective [redacted] requested a list of eyewitnesses already identified and interviewed by detectives from the Bureau of Crimes Against Persons.

At approximately 9:00 a.m., Detective [redacted] provided Detective [redacted] with a telephone number of [redacted]. An individual with this phone number had previously contacted the Saint Louis County Police Department’s Bureau of Communications with information regarding the incident.

At approximately 9:30 a.m., Detective [redacted] called telephone number [redacted]. A male who refused to identify himself answered the telephone and stated he did not want to talk to the police because he had already given his statement to the police. The male then ended the telephone call.

At approximately 10:00 a.m., Detective Sergeant [redacted] of the Saint Louis County Police Department’s Division of Criminal Investigation, Bureau of Crimes Against Persons, was contacted via telephone by Detective [redacted] of the Saint Peters, Missouri, Police Department. Detective [redacted] stated a [redacted] by the name of [redacted] who was approximately [redacted] years old, with a mobile phone number of [redacted] was a possible witness to the incident. Detective [redacted] indicated [redacted] attempted to speak to the media about his witness statement; however, when an unknown media source started to ask him personal questions, [redacted] stopped the interview.

Detective Sergeant [redacted] forwarded this information to Detective [redacted] for follow-up investigation.

At approximately 10:35 a.m., Detective [redacted] received a telephone call from Witness [redacted] verified the existence of a handwritten note completed by him. [redacted] also agreed to meet investigators at his residence and provided the address.

At approximately 11:15 a.m., Detective [redacted] and Special Agent [redacted] arrived at [redacted]

After arriving, investigators met with [redacted] and were invited into his residence. [redacted] confirmed he was in possession of a note he wrote immediately following the incident. [redacted] provided Detective [redacted] with a handwritten note, which was written on white lined paper. The note read as follows:

“On Saturday August 9th at approx. 12:15
I witnessed one white male police officer
Gun down and kill on black male.

Initially I heard a single shot fired and
peered around the corner to see black male
at which time I heard another shot and
saw the white cop behind the black man.
I was 50-60 yards away watching the black
man stumbling away from the officer and
turn with hands raised yelling ok! Ok!
officer proceeded to unload clip into black
man, at least 6-7 more shots.

30 minutes before incident fellow co-worker
[redacted] spoke with the black man
who spoke of Jesus and life of honest people.
Mike was his name. He was wearing
a cardinals ballcap, grey shirt and jean shorts
with yellow pot leaf socks and sandals

At the time of the incident After hearing
the first and second shots I stood there
like a deer in head lights stunned to see
and believe what was happening

Mike said he was going to the convenience
store to get a few things and would be right
back. We were working at his building
and engaged in conversation. He seemed like
a nice guy”

This is an accurate representation of the handwritten note; however, for an exact depiction, reference should be made to the handwritten note. Detective [redacted] seized the handwritten note as evidence. The handwritten note was ultimately marked, packaged, and forwarded to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

Prior to conducting an audio recorded interview, [redacted] mentioned [redacted] and “Mike” had a conversation regarding drugs, specifically marijuana.

[redacted] agreed to complete a recorded audio statement of his account of the seizure of the handwritten note. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture his statement. [redacted]’s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD, which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with _____ was concluded at approximately 11:26 a.m.

At approximately 11:00 a.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] again drove to West Florissant Avenue in an attempt to make contact at the businesses that had previously been closed. The following are the results of those contacts:

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted] a business identified as [redacted]. She spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] said the business was not equipped with video surveillance cameras.

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted] a business identified as [redacted]. She spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] said the business was not equipped with video surveillance cameras.

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted], a business identified as [redacted]. She spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] said the business was not equipped with video surveillance cameras.

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted] a business identified as [redacted]. She spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] said the business was not equipped with video surveillance cameras.

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted], a vacant building under renovation, in an attempt to obtain the correct footage after learning the incorrect footage had previously been provided. He spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] advised the digital video recorder only recorded one week's worth of footage and began to write over the old footage. As a result, he was only able to go back to the events of August 13, 2014.

Detective [redacted] attempted to make contact with an employee at [redacted] a business identified as [redacted]. He spoke with: [redacted]

[redacted] said the business had no exterior cameras. The interior cameras did not provide a view of outside the business.

Detective [redacted] noted the exterior of [redacted] had overgrown vegetation at the front of the building. Although there were multiple visible exterior cameras, the windows were boarded, and the [redacted]

parking lot had multiple cracks with vegetation growing through the cracks. There was no signage on the exterior of the building.

The canvass on West Florissant Avenue was concluded at approximately 12:30 p.m.

At approximately 12:30 p.m., Detective [redacted] contacted [redacted] with Christian Hospital Risk Management at telephone number [redacted]. Detective [redacted] requested an interview with Registered Nurse [redacted] and Physician's Assistant [redacted] regarding their treatment of patient P.O. Darren Wilson, on August 9, 2014 at Christian Northwest Emergency Department.

Detective [redacted] learned Nurse [redacted] was employed by Christian Hospital and the interview could be conducted at Christian Northeast Hospital, located at [redacted].

Detective [redacted] was advised written consent would need to be gained from P.O. Darren Wilson to facilitate the interview with Nurse [redacted]. Detective [redacted] was provided an Authorization for Release of Information Form. The form was then provided to Detective [redacted].

Detective [redacted] was advised Physician's Assistant [redacted] was employed by [redacted]. As a result, any needed information regarding the treatment of P.O. Darren Wilson by Physician's Assistant [redacted] should be directed to [redacted] handled legal matters for [redacted].

Detective [redacted] telephoned [redacted]. Detective [redacted] requested an interview with Physician's Assistant [redacted] indicated written consent from P.O. Darren Wilson would need to be obtained. Detective [redacted] was provided an additional Authorization for Release of Information Form. This second form was also provided to Detective [redacted].

At approximately 4:15 p.m., Detective [redacted] attempted to contact [redacted] via telephone. A [redacted] who would not identify [redacted] answered the telephone and indicated [redacted] was not in at the time of the telephone call. Detective [redacted] provided his contact information for [redacted] to return his call.

At approximately 5:30 p.m., Detective [redacted] and Detective [redacted] met with P.O. Darren Wilson and his attorney, [redacted] for a previously scheduled meeting. During the meeting, P.O. Darren Wilson, with the assistance of his attorney, [redacted] completed and signed the following forms:

- Consent to Access E-mail and Acquire Information Within
- Consent to Assume Online Presence
- Consent to Search
- Criminal Authorization for Release of Information for Christian Hospital

After signing the forms, P.O. Darren Wilson, with the assistance of his attorney, granted the Saint Louis County Police Department consent to search his email and its contents and to assume his online presence, specifically Facebook.com, and access its stored data. He also completed and signed the forms granting consent to search his white iPhone 5 with a phone number of [redacted] and his black Samsung flip phone with a phone [redacted].

number of [redacted] Excluded from the search were any communications between P.O. Darren Wilson and [redacted] P.O. Darren Wilson also completed the forms granting permission to allow employees of Christian Hospital to disclose his treatment to investigators. This form was later deemed inadequate due to the handwritten additions made by [redacted]

During the meeting, P.O. Darren Wilson indicated he had not posted any information about the incident on his Facebook page and any information on a Facebook page associated with his name was not legitimate.

P.O. Darren Wilson then turned over his two mobile phones which were seized as evidence. Detective [redacted] drove the mobile phones to the Saint Louis County Police Department's Division of Criminal Investigation, where they were released to Detective [redacted] Detective [redacted] was provided specific phone numbers and email addresses to avoid accessing Attorney-client privileged information. Detective [redacted] conducted an examination on both phones. Detective [redacted]'s supplemental report can be viewed for additional details.

The consent to search forms were later packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

At the conclusion of the examination of the mobile phones, at approximately 10:30 p.m., Detective [redacted] released the phones to Detective [redacted] who returned the phones to [redacted] at P.O. Darren Wilson's request.

At approximately 6:30 p.m., Detective [redacted] Detective Sergeant [redacted] and Detective [redacted] traveled to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, located at 111 South Tenth Street, Saint Louis, Missouri 63102, for a previously scheduled interview with Sergeant [redacted] of the Ferguson Police Department. Also present for the interview were Special Agent [redacted] AUSA [redacted] and DOJ Attorney [redacted]

At approximately 7:00 p.m., Sergeant [redacted] arrived and was escorted to a conference room within the United States Attorney's Office.

Sergeant [redacted] agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. Sergeant [redacted]'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD, which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with Sergeant [redacted]

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with Sergeant was completed at approximately 7:59 p.m.

At approximately 9:15 p.m., Detective [redacted] was contacted via telephone by a [redacted] who identified as [redacted] with a date of birth of [redacted]. Detective [redacted] requested to meet with [redacted] in person for an interview. [redacted] said he would think about it and contact Detective [redacted].

Detective [redacted] conducted a law enforcement computer check with the provided information and tentatively identified him as: [redacted]

The following information documents the Saint Louis County Police Department's investigative activities on Wednesday, August 20, 2014.

At approximately 9:00 a.m., Detective [redacted] was contacted by [redacted] who provided Detective [redacted] with attorney, [redacted]'s telephone number of [redacted]. [redacted] asked Detective [redacted] to contact [redacted] attorney about meeting for an interview. Detective [redacted] contacted the telephone number and spoke with [redacted] Detective [redacted] and [redacted] arranged to meet at the Federal Bureau of Investigation office located at 2222 Market Street Saint Louis, Missouri 63103 at 12:00 p.m. for an interview with [redacted].

At approximately 9:00 a.m., Detective [redacted] Detective [redacted], Detective Sergeant [redacted] Special Agent [redacted] Special Agent [redacted] AUSA [redacted] DOJ Attorney [redacted] and DOJ Attorney [redacted] met with Lieutenant Colonel [redacted] of the Ferguson Police Department in private in a conference room within Ferguson Fire House number 1.

Lieutenant Colonel [redacted] agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A [redacted] department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. Lieutenant Colonel [redacted]'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD, which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with Lieutenant Colonel [redacted]

In the event of a discrepancy between the transcript and the recorded audio statement on CD, the CD should be used as the most accurate account of the statement.

The interview with Lieutenant Colonel [REDACTED] was completed at approximately 9:47 a.m.

At approximately 9:50 a.m., Detective [REDACTED], Detective Sergeant [REDACTED] Special Agent [REDACTED] Special Agent [REDACTED] AUSA [REDACTED], DOJ Attorney [REDACTED] and DOJ Attorney [REDACTED] met with Detective [REDACTED] of the Ferguson Police Department in private in a conference room within Ferguson Fire House number 1.

Detective [REDACTED] agreed to complete an audio recording of his account of the incident. A department issued digital recorder was used to capture the statement. Detective [REDACTED]'s audio statement was later transferred from the digital recorder to a CD, which was packaged as evidence and released to the Saint Louis County Property Control Unit.

The following is a transcript of the interview with Detective [REDACTED]